

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO:
09/494,801	01/31/2000	Arthur L. Gaudette	INTL-0314-US(P7997)	3975
7590 06/29/2004			EXAMINER	
Timothy N Trop TROP PRUNER HU & MILES P C			DETWILER, BRIAN J	
8554 Katy Freeway Suite 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TX 77024			2173	1
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/494,801	GAUDETTE, ARTHUR L.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian J Detwiler	2173				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM						
 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	1					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 A</u>						
,_	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
,— , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Claim(s) <u>8-10,13,15-17,20 and 27-35</u> is/are pending in the application.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-10,13,15-17,20 and 27-35</u> is/are rejected.						
	<u></u>					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2173

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-10, 13, 15-17, 20, and 27-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,366,933 (Ball et al) and U.S. Patent No. 6,578,073 (Starnes et al).

Referring to claims 8, 9, 15, 20, and 29, Ball discloses in column 1: lines 50-57 and further illustrates in Figures 11 and 12 an invention for comparing cached and current versions of an Internet web page. Ball's invention inherently operates on processor-based systems with storage media for storing program instructions. Ball first discloses in Figure 12 a navigation bar at the top of a browser interface comprising a plurality of selectable buttons. In column 5: lines 4-40, Ball discloses that his invention is capable of displaying both a current version of an Internet web page and a page that indicates the differences between a cached version and a current version of the Internet web page. In Figure 12, Ball further discloses a button labeled "DIFF" that, upon actuation, causes the differences between the cached and current versions of the Internet web page to be displayed on the screen. Ball, however, fails to disclose a single selectable subtract button image for toggling between the two pages. Starnes, though, discloses in Figure 7 a mode button in a command bar [702] for toggling between two versions of a web page. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 2173

invention was made to utilize Starnes' mode button in combination with the invention disclosed by Ball such that a selection of the button toggles between displaying a current version of an Internet web page and a page that shows the differences between a cached version and a current version of the Internet web page. Such a combination would have improved Ball's invention by reducing the number of user steps required to switch between the two versions. Although the mode button as implemented by Starnes does not comprise a subtract button image, the button behaves in a manner that is similar to that of the corresponding button in the claimed invention. At the time the invention was made, it thus would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the mode button by changing the label to an image of a minus sign.

Applicant has not disclosed that the minus sign image provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with a textual label because the button's behavior would remain the same.

Referring to claims 10 and 30, Ball discloses in column 19: lines 1-10, a method for showing the differences between two Internet web pages, wherein only the differences are displayed on the screen. Said method corresponds to the claimed limitation of "blanking the common material".

Referring to claims 13, 16, 17, 31, and 32, Starnes provides more detail concerning the mode button of command bar [702] in column 18: lines 39-59. In lines 50-53, Starnes specifically explains that upon selection of the mode button, the invention requests and receives the alternate version for display. In the hypothetical combination with Ball discussed above, the button would behave in an identical fashion. Upon selection of the toggle button, the interface

Art Unit: 2173

would request and receive the alternate version of the Internet web page. For instance, if the user was viewing the current version of an Internet web page and subsequently selected the toggle button, the interface would request the version showing the differences, difference the cached and current versions, and receive the differences for display at the browser. On the other hand, if the user was viewing the version showing the differences, the interface would request and receive the current version for display at the browser.

Referring to claims 27, 28, and 35, Ball discloses in column 20: lines 32-36 that a user can selectively cache a viewed page by selecting a "Remember" link.

Referring to claim 33, users of Ball's invention can cause the indication of differences to be displayed upon receipt of the current version by clicking on the "DIFF" button.

Referring to claim 34, Ball discloses in column 10: lines 51-53 that users can retrieve current versions of an Internet web pages by selecting items from a hotlist or bookmark file, both of which are well known in the art.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 8-10, 13, 15-17, 20, and 27-35 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2173

Page 5

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J Detwiler whose telephone number is 703-305-3986. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 8-5:30 and alternating Fridays 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W Cabeca can be reached on 703-308-3116. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

bjd

JOHN CABECA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100